UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,351	03/12/2004	Detlef Becker	P03,0116-01	9892
SCHIFF HARD	7590 07/22/200 DIN LLP	9	EXAM	IINER
Patent Departm		KAMAL, SHAHID		
6600 Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 606	506	3621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/800,351	BECKER ET AL.	
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHAHID KAMAL	3621	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>SHAHID KAMAL</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>BRETT VALIQUET</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>08 July 2009</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	·]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>38</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Marchosky & Hotchkiss	ş.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative claimed invention. No agreement was reached.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANC	ments which the examiner agropy of the amendments that was compared to the same of the sam	reed would render the could render the substance of been filed, APP of DAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/EVENS J. AUGUSTIN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3621			